



Gawłowska M., Górny A., Ratajczak D., Niewiadomska A.(*), Święcicki W., Beczek K., Tomaszewska M., Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences and Univ. of Life Sciences(*), Poznań, Poland

Introduction.

In European countries with the predominant podzolic soils, productivity of field pea is affected by instabilities in water and mineral accessibility. In the stress conditions, yield potential and qualitative seed properties depend on plant physiological capacities to an efficient utilization of environmental resources (water, minerals, CO₂). Hence, the pro-ecological strategy to breed efficient cultivars better adapted to less favourable environments is justified and could stabilize pea production.

The present project was initiated to identify pea genome regions responsible for the physiological components of water and nitrogen economy. In the study done under controlled and field conditions, the components were examined among parents and chosen lines from the Canadian mapping population [Carneval × MP1401] during the whole growth season under varied nitrogen nutrition.

Results and Discussion

Eighteen recombinant lines from the mapping pea population [Carneval × MP1401] were chosen based on field yield in 2013 (9 lines with the lowest and 9 with the highest yield). These RILs and the parental lines were examined in the controlled greenhouse and in field conditions under varied nutrients supply. Nitrogen use parameters like Gw/Ng and NUtE_{veq} were higher in field conditions than in the greenhouse. Nitrogen use effciency in seed formation NUtE_{gen} and NHI were lower in field conditions than in the greenhouse (Tab. 2). All characters were significantly affected by soil treatments. Generally, yield characteristics and the season-long TE efficiencies decreased under nitrogen-limited conditions (Tab.3). Genotype-treatment (G-E) interaction effects were significant for most characters at the final growth phase. Noteworthy, relationships between yield and water and nitrogen efficiency were stronger in nitrogen-limited conditions suggesting an enhanced importance of the physiological components for pea yielding under sub-optimal conditions.

| Variability source | | Yie | Water use | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Yie | eld | F | 11 | WUtE _{GEN} | WUtE _{VEG} | |
| | field pots | | field | pots | pots | pots | |
| | g dm/ plant | g dm/ plant | % | % | mg mol ⁻¹ | mg mol⁻¹ | |
| Nitrogen level | | | | | | | |
| N optimum | 5,1 | 5,5 | 43 | 13,8 1 | 34,1 | 31,4 | |
| Low N | 3,7 | 5,1 | 41 | 5,2 | 28,0 | 25,2 | |

The parental line MP1401 had the higher tolerance index T for the nitrogen shortage than the line Carneval (1,02 versus 0,68) in the controlled greenhouse. The line MP1401 was more tolerant to nitrogen shortage because of higher N_{fix} than in the line Carneval (N_{fix} 61% versus N_{fix} 54%). The MP1401 was more stable in yielding than the line Carneval in stress (5,75 g/ plant in the control conditions and 5,4 g/ plant in the nitrogen shortage for MP1401 versus 5,8 g/ plant in the control conditions and 3,7 g/ plant in the nitrogen shortage for Carneval).

| N optimum, Przebędowo | 3,6 | | 34 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Genotypes | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | | | | |
| N fertilization level | ** | * | ** | ns | ** | ** | | | | | |
| G x Treat | * | + | ** | ns | * | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

+, *, ** - significant P<0.10, P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively

Table 3. The yield and WUE under the nitrogen optimum and the N shortage conditions

| Sample | Macroelements | | | | | | | рН | | | | | | | lage co | nultion | 5. | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|---------|---------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|------|
| Sumple | mg·100 g ⁻¹ d.m. soil | | | | | | | (H ₂ O) | Funding. Analysis was | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | N- NH ₄ | N- NO ₃ | P | K | Са | Mg | S- SO ₄ | | financed by the National Project of the Polish | Variability source | Nitro assimi efficie | ilation | Nitrogen use efficiency | | | | | | | |
| 1. Wiatrowo, low N, | 0,4 | traces | 4,1 | 7,9 | 26,9 | 4,3 | 0,1 | 6,37 | Ministry of Agriculture and | | NUpE | Nfix | NHI | | Gw/Ng | | NUtE _{GEN} | | NUtE _{VEG} | |
| 2. Wiatrowo N | | | | | | | | | Rural Development | | pots | pots | field | pots | field | pots | field | pots | field | pots |
| optimum (after suppl. 1,4 mg N) | 0,4 | 0,4 | 6,9 | 11,0 | 45,9 | 7,3 | 0,1 | 6,57 | (HORhn-801-8/14). | | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (mg mg- 1) | (mg mg- 1) | (g g-1) | (g g-1) | (g g-1) | |
| 3. Przebędowo, | | | | | | | | | | Nitrogen level | | | | | | | | | | |
| stress field (1,5 mg | 0,4 | 1,1 | 4,3 | 17,1 | 117,2 | 11,2 | 0,2 | 7,27 | | N optimum | 155 | 35 | 77 | 85 | 29,5 | 27,8 | 22,6 | 23,5 | 30,1 | 19,4 |
| N) | | | | | | | | | Figure 1. [Carneval × | Low N | 411 | 74 | 73 | 83 | 30,7 | 24,7 | 22,3 | 20,5 | 32,8 | 17,4 |
| Indicator content | t N-NH ₄ +N-N 2,5-5,0 | | 3,0- 25,0- 3,0-6,0 1,0-3 6,0 5,0-8,0 40,0 3,0-6,0 1,0-3 | 1,0-3,0 | 5,5-6,5 | MP1401] RILs in the | N optimum, Przebędowo | | | 64 | | 26,9 | | 17,3 | | 35,5 | | | | |
| | mg/dm ³ soil controlled greenhouse | | | | | | | | Lsd _{0.05} | 24 | 4 | | 2 | | 1,1 | | 1,2 | | 1,0 | |
| Pots, | 100 Table 1 The s | | | | he soil | analysis for N | | | and in field conditions under varied nitrogen | ANOVA | | | | | | | | | | |
| High N | | | Table 1. The soil analysis for N | | | | | | | Genotypes | ** | ** | ** | * | ** | ** | + | ** | * | ** |
| Pots, Low N | 4 | 3 | experiments. | | | | | | supply. | N fertilization level | ** | ** | ** | ns | * | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| | | | | | | | | Suppry. | G x Treat | ** | ** | * | | ns | ** | * | ns | * | ** | |
| | | | | | | | | + * ** - significant P<0.10. P<0.05 and P<0.01. respectively | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Materials and methods.

The material consists of parental lines and 18 chosen recombinant lines from the mapping pea population [Carneval × MP1401] with afila leaves (Tar'an et al. 2003). The materials were grown in soil pots in a controlled greenhouse and in the field conditions under varied nutrients supply (Tab.1). The amount of transpired water (WT) during the growth season was determined using the gravimetric method. In season-long scale, the transpiration efficiency was estimated as plant dry weight/ WT ratio. In addition, N uptake and other components of N utilization efficiency were determined.

Experiment 1

- partially controlled greenhouse; 8-30/6-16°C day/night, photoperiod 10-17h, 400-1600 μM m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR at the plant level, 40-85% RH (depending upon the growth phase and photoperiod cycling);

- double-sided Kick-Brauckman's pots (9 dm³ of soil) with a surface perlite layer;

- two soil treatments: control (relatively high N, 105 mgN/dm³) and low N (42 mg/dm³);

- soil water content maintained constant (70-75% FWC); amounts of water transpired recorded by frequent weighing of pots during the whole growth season;

- yield traits and water use measurements (done until the full maturity). Whole plants were

The plant ability to respond to variable amounts of nitrogen with an increased efficiency of utilization in grain mass formation is an important component of plant adaptation to gradients of nutrient supply (Górny et al. 2011). The analysed lines were categorized for tolerance for low N conditions and physiological ability to utilize absorbed N efficiently. Six lines had enhanced tolerance to low N and exhibited the greatest ability to utilize additional N with an enhanced efficiency; these genotypes can produce well under low N fertilization and are able to respond well with enhanced N efficiency (tolerant and responsive group, Fig.2). Four tolerant lines with parental line MP1401 had limited physiological ability to utilize additional N efficiently and this group was described as a tolerant and nonresponsive (Fig.2). Five genotypes and the parental line Carneval with a limited yield potential under low N and a reduced ability to utilize additional N efficiently were classified in non-tolerant and responsive group (Fig.2). Three lines were in the non-tolerant and non-responsive group (Fig.2). According to Fageria and Baligar (1997), lines belonging to the 1st group (above all) and 2nd group (to a lesser extent) appear to be the most desirable materials for breeding programs that deal with adaptation to low-input agriculture. Results of the study show that N fertilization levels have a critical influence on the expression of gene actions governing N efficiency in pea. The effects of genotype x environment interaction for components of the efficiency suggest that a genotype most efficient in N uptake and N utilization under optimal conditions is not necessarily the most efficient under limited N.

hand-harvested at full maturity. The vegetative (stems + leaves) and generative (seeds) plant parts were separated and their dry weights (g d.w. pot⁻¹) were determined by oven drying at 65°C for 72 h. Nitrogen concentrations (seed N% and straw N%) in the dried and ground homogeneous sub-samples of the parts (IKA mill processed) were measured using hightemperature combustion (Dumas' method) in the elemental analyser (VarioMax-CN, Elementar Ltd., Germany). Using the seed and straw N concentrations, nitrogen uptake (NUp, g m⁻² or g pot⁻¹), i.e. total amounts of N taken up by plants as a sum of N accumulated in vegetative parts and seeds, were estimated. According to Moll et al. (1982), the following components of N efficiency were determined: NUE (%), N uptake efficiency; NHI (%), N harvest index; Gw/Ng (mg mg⁻¹); seed dry weight produced per unit of N accumulated in seeds, NUtE (g g⁻¹); Gw/NUp ratio, i.e. physiological index of the efficiency of N utilization in seed mass formation (syn. N utilization efficiency ratio). Tolerance index (T) was determined for each i-th entry on the basis of its grain yield (d.w. m⁻²) using a modification of the standard equation (Fischer and Maurer 1978): $T = (L_i/H_i)/D$, where L_i and H_i are the grain yields of the i-th entry under low and high N nutrition, respectively, while D-value (=overall mean L/overall mean H) is a general measure of stress intensity in the experiment.

References.

FAGERIA N.K., BALIGAR V.C. (1997) Upland rice genotypes evaluation for phosphorus use efficiency. Journal of Plant Nutrition 20 (4-5): 499-509. FISCHER R., MAURER R. (1978) Drought resistance in spring wheat cultivars. I. Grain yield responses. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 29 (5): 897-912.

GÓRNY A., BANASZAK Z., ŁUGOWSKA B., RATAJCZAK D. (2011) Inheritance of the efficiency of nitrogen uptake and utilization in winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) under diverse nutrition levels. Euphytica 177 (2): 191-206.

MOLL R.H., KAMPRATH E.J., JACKSON W.A. (1982) Analysis and Interpretation of Factors Which Contribute to Efficiency of Nitrogen Utilization 1. Agron. J. 74 (3): 562-564.

TAR'AN B., WARKENTIN T., SOMERS D.J., MIRANDA D., VANDENBERG A., BLADE S., WOODS S., BING D., XUE A., DEKOEYER D., PENNER G. (2003) Quantitative trait loci for lodging resistance, plant height and partial resistance to mycosphaerella blight in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 107 (8): 1482-1491.